

Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology

Volume 16, Issue 12, Page 48-67, 2024; Article no.AJBGMB.127999 ISSN: 2582-3698

Advances in the use of Genome Editing Tools in Africa: A Review

Angela Chinenye Ogbu ^{a,b*}, Okpaga Austine Ume ^{a,c}, Olando Cletus Nwogiji ^a, Samson Olumide Akeredolu ^d, Ofobuike Godson Eze ^e, Chikezie Victor Onwe ^a, Oluwasegun Ifeoluwa Oduguwa ^f and Uchenna Victor Chigozie ^{g,h}

^a Department of Biology/Biotechnology, David Umahi Federal University of Health Sciences Uburu, Ebonyi State, Nigeria.

^b International Institute for Oncology Research, David Umahi Federal University of Health Sciences Uburu, Ebonyi State, Nigeria.

^c International Institute for Infectious Diseases, Biosecurity and Biosafety Research, David Umahi Federal University of Health Sciences Uburu, Ebonyi State, Nigeria.

^d Department of Biology, Georgia State University, Atlanta, USA.

^e Department of Clinical Services and Training, David Umahi Federal University Teaching Hospital, Uburu, Ebonyi State, Nigeria.

^f Centre for Human Virology and Genomics, Nigerian Institute of Medical Research, Yaba Lagos, Nigeria.

^g Department of Pharmaceutical Microbiology and Biotechnology, David Umahi Federal University of Health Sciences, Uburu, Ebonyi State, Nigeria.

^h International Institute for Pharmaceutical Research and Innovation David Umahi Federal University of Health Sciences Uburu, Ebonyi State, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/ajbgmb/2024/v16i12422

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/127999

*Corresponding author: E-mail: angelachnn@gmail.com, ogbuca@dufuhs.edu.ng;

Cite as: Ogbu, Angela Chinenye, Okpaga Austine Ume, Olando Cletus Nwogiji, Samson Olumide Akeredolu, Ofobuike Godson Eze, Chikezie Victor Onwe, Oluwasegun Ifeoluwa Oduguwa, and Uchenna Victor Chigozie. 2024. "Advances in the Use of Genome Editing Tools in Africa: A Review". Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology 16 (12):48-67. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajbgmb/2024/v16i12422.

Ogbu et al.; Asian J. Biochem. Gen. Mol. Biol., vol. 16, no. 12, pp. 48-67, 2024; Article no.AJBGMB.127999

Review Article

Received: 04/10/2024 Accepted: 06/12/2024 Published: 11/12/2024

ABSTRACT

Africa is grappling with various challenges, particularly in agricultural production and disease prevention affecting humans, animals, and crops. Gene editing, or genome editing (GE), involves modifying, adding, or removing nearly any DNA sequence in various cells and organisms. Due to new techniques, GE is now quicker, less expensive, and more effective. The CRISPR/Cas9 system is based on RNA and has been more effectively tweaked than protein-based methods, enabling multi-site manipulation. The Type II CRISPR system protects DNA from plasmids and viruses that invade it through RNA-guided DNA cleavage by Cas proteins. Several diseases are currently being treated with CRISPR-based GE technology. The raising of livestock is vital to modern society, and it is directly impacted by disease resistance. Here, we provided a comprehensive review of how these GE tools have enhanced resilience against biotic and abiotic stresses, leading to increased yields. We elaborated on how GE has also facilitated the development of disease-resistant varieties of bananas, cassava, and maize, effectively addressing plant diseases like cassava mosaic and brown streak by targeting specific genes. We further emphasized the application of GE in animal breeding, exploring the successful creation of disease-resistant livestock and developing vaccines against diseases. Our findings explored the applications of GE in tackling human health challenges, including artemisinin resistance and hepatitis B treatment. Our summary highlighted limited adoption of GE technologies only in a few African countries such as Kenya, South Africa, Nigeria, Ethiopia, Egypt, Uganda, Burkina Faso, Ghana, and Rwanda. We further reported the persistence of societal issues despite its advancement, including religious beliefs and concerns about the implications of GE in homes, leading to fear and discrimination against its use. We finally reported the efforts of scientists in advocating for policies and consensus on implementing GE in Africa to address these challenges.

Keywords: Genome editing; Africa; progress; challenges; prospects.

1. BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

Infectious diseases and food insecurity have hampered economic progress in most countries, especially in low-income African countries (Rohr et al., 2019). The world faces three significant challenges: increasing agricultural yields despite limited land and water resources, ensuring affordable and accessible planting materials (particularly in Africa), and producing food with enhanced nutritional value (Searchinger et al., 2019; Tripathi et al., 2022). Mennechet and Dzomo's 2020 report stresses that Africa has the most significant healthcare resource gaps and higher mortality rates due to infectious diseases. African nations should actively implement advanced technologies, such as genome editing, to address these issues to combat health challenges (Mennechet and Dzomo, 2020).

Genome editing (GE) alters an organism's DNA at particular genomic sites to alter biological activities (Saurabh, 2021). This alteration can be accomplished by knocking down undesirable genes or phenotypes, permanently inserting foreign DNA, or activating, overexpressing, or silencing genes (Ledford and Callaway 2020; Karavolias et al., 2021). Almost every field of human effort has applications for this technology, including industry, agriculture, and medicine, all of which are essential to our way of life and overall health. According to Geller et al. (2014), GE has enormous potential for developing proactive, effective, and individualized strategies for treating and preventing infectious diseases (Geller et al. 2014). Many scientists have used GE techniques to improve and create novel traits, such as increased therapeutic possibilities, vield potential. stress tolerance, disease resistance, drought resistance, gene therapy, and vaccines (Morishige et al. 2019, Ajami et al. 2020). '

GE technologies include transcription activatorlike effector nucleases (TALENs), meganucleases, zinc-finger nucleases (ZFN), and clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)/Cas9 (Clark et al. 2023). Mega-nucleases are DNA-splitting enzymes that identify DNA targets up to 20 base pairs long and are encoded by mobile genetic elements or introns (Tripathi et al. ,2022). ZFNs are designed explicitly for Cys2-His2 zinc-finger protein and the Fokl restriction endonuclease cleavage domain. At the same time, TALENs are derived from bacterial TALEs and comprise an aminoterminal TALE DNA-binding domain connected to carboxy-terminal Fokl cleavage domain а (Shukla et al., 2009; Li et al., 2012). The Streptococcus pyogenes adaptive immune system is the source of CRISPR/Cas9 (Tripathi et al., 2022). Because of its versatility, specificity, adaptability, ease of use, and ability to multiplex traits, CRISPR/Cas9 has become the most used GE technique to date (Javaid et al., 2022). Once the scientific community realized how easy it was to use CRISPR-Cas tools for gene-editing applications, this method quickly became the standard (Javaid et al., 2022). It has been demonstrated that CRISPR-Cas tools have many potential applications for large insertions or deletions, INDELs, substitutions, inversions, duplications, and other complex alterations that were challenging to accomplish with conventional approaches (Josa et al., 2017, Anzalone et al., 2020). GE techniques have been effectively used to address genetic problems in kids, persistent infertility, and other life-threatening conditions for which there is no other available treatment (Anzalone et al., 2020).

Despite notable progress in the CRISPR guide RNA selection, protein and guide engineering, novel enzymes, and off-target detection methodologies, the Cas9 protein has been found to bind and fragment DNA in non-target areas (Lino et al., 2018). Also, important ethical concerns about designer babies, species-specific bioweapons, and invasive mutants have been raised by scientific research on the use of gene editing tools, particularly in developing novel treatments and identifying the function(s) of specific genes (Andoh, 2017). Globally, the GE tools have enabled humankind to experience unthinkable levels of development, and African nations should not be excluded. Therefore, by listing the African nations that have embraced the advantages of GE and providing an overview of the latest developments in GE tool application for crops, animals, and genetic disease correction, we hope to shed light on the advancements in GE tool use in Africa. Additionally, this study will explain why genome editing technologies are still considered science fiction in Africa and what the future holds.

2. GENE EDITING IN THE FIELD OF AGRICULTURE

2.1 Application of Gene-Editing tools for African Crop/Plant Improvement

Scientists are developing crops with enhanced nutritional quality, resistance to pests and diseases, tolerance to abiotic stressors, and industrial and pharmaceutical applications using genetic engineering techniques (Ricroch et al., 2017; Ricroch, 2019). The following subheadings address the use of GE methods for agricultural enhancement in Africa:

2.1.1 Biotic stress resistance

Weeds, diseases, and pests can compromise food safety if left unmanaged, resulting in significant financial losses (Ndudzo et al., 2024). An entire crop may be lost, depending on how bad the infestation is. For this reason, much work is being done to create crops naturally resistant to biotic stress (Ricroch, 2019). Developing disease-resistant bananas is now feasible with the help of CRISPR/Cas9 and other targeted GE technologies. Because CRISPR/Cas9 GE techniques and comprehensive banana genome sequences are available, constructing diseaseresistant bananas is achieved by carefully knocking off the endogenous genes (Ntui et al., Several susceptibility genes linked to 2020). bacterial resistance have been found and targeted for editing in various plants (Tripathi et al., 2023). Research to create genome-edited bananas resistant to bacterial wilt disease is now being conducted at Kenya's International Institute of Tropical Africa (IITA) (Abkallo et al., 2024).

Furthermore, studies have attempted to develop resistance to cassava's two primary and severe viral diseases, cassava Mosaic Disease (CMD) and cassava Brown Streak Disease (CBSD), using CRISPR/Cas9 technology (Gomez et al., 2019; Mehta et al. 2019). A single gRNA was used to silence the viral AC2 and AC3 genes, which play significant roles in gene activation, virus pathogenicity, repression, and replication enhancement (Gomez et al., 2019; Mehta et al. 2019). Mehta et al. (2019) created cassava lines resistant to CMD by engineering transgenic plants to express the Cas9 gene using a guide RNA to target the virus's genome. When tested, the researchers did not observe the resistance of the plants to CMD under greenhouse conditions. This research then led to the whole genome sequencing of the virus and infected wild-type plants, and various mutations occurred within the

targeted region of the gRNA, resulting in premature stop codons in the AC2 and AC3 Open Reading Frames (Mehta et al., 2019).

Furthermore, gene editing has successfully created sorghum plants resistant to the damaging parasite known as witchweed (Mbuvi et al., 2017). Similarly, the CRISPR system has been employed to enhance crops' resistance to various diseases and infections, including downy mildew in spinach, fire blight in apples, greening in oranges, lethal necrosis in maize, powdery mildew in grapevines, and the Yellow Leaf Curl virus in tomatoes (Tripathi et al., 2022). TALENs have also been used to develop resistance against worms and fungi in wheat and almonds. Additionally, it has been reported that gene editing can reduce fatal necrosis in maize in Africa, leading to increased grain yields and overall maize production (Tripathi et al., 2022).

Scientists are developing a strain of maize resistant to Maize Lethal Necrosis (MLN) using CRISPR-mediated GE without affecting desirable traits and performance (Omondi, 2022). With the GE methods, the MLN Gene Editing Project aims to modify four superior maize varieties resistant to infections (Prasanna et al., 2020). The MLN had reduced maize yields in Kenya, causing enormous losses in productivity and the abandonment of maize planting by many smallholder farmers (Miao et al., 2013). It is possible to develop new MLN-resistant maize cultivars and increase the resilience of alreadyexisting cultivars by using CRISPR editing in maize plants (Liu et al., 2021). If CRISPR-edited maize seedlings are successfully field-planted, food security in African countries will significantly improve in agriculture. GE has focused on modifying, replacing, or regulating genes to develop disease-resistant crops (Liu et al., 2021).

2.1.2 Abiotic stress resistance

Climate change, which causes drought, floods, salinity, and extremely high temperatures, has been an environmental issue scientists have been most concerned about recently (Cardi et al. 2017). Farmers profit from plants' ability to withstand abiotic stressors like cold, salt, drought, and nitrogen shortage (Cardi et al., 2017). Kenvatta University's Plant Transformation Laboratory scientists use CRISPR-Cas9-mediated gene editing to enhance maize lines' resistance to oxidative stress, genotoxicity, and drought. This system has effectively modified various species of plants to improve their tolerance to abiotic stressors

(Razzaq et al., 2021). The researchers are explicitly transforming maize varieties, which are susceptible to these stressors, as a result of changes in the gene to develop more resilient lines (Zafar et al., 2020; Razzaq et al., 2021).

Researchers in Egypt are adapting the CRISPR-Cas9 technology for developing drought-resistant wheat varieties whose oil quality has been enhanced by targeting the SAL1 gene, which is known for improving plants' tolerance to abiotic stress (Sami et al., 2021). Additionally, they are working on creating dwarf and semi-dwarf banana varieties, which are more resilient to storm damage and more straightforward to harvest. The modification of the gibberellin 20oxidase 2 (GA20ox2) gene in Musa acuminata disrupted the gibberellin (GA) pathway (Tripathi et al., 2022). Svitashev et al. (2015) successfully edited genes such as LG1, MS26, MS45, ALS1, and ALS2 in maize, but they had difficulties converting elite lines, mainly commercial hybrids from Africa (Svitashev et al., 2015). The collaborative efforts of African researchers have resulted in the successful transformation of tropical maize lines, making it possible to alter genes in commercial lines directly (Lowe et al., 2018).

Abiotic stressors like reduced soil fertility, unpredictable weather patterns (including floods and droughts), and high temperatures negatively impact yam production. To mitigate these challenges due to abiotic stress, it is essential to develop yam varieties that can adapt to various agro-ecological zones, particularly in low-nutrient soils (Frossard et al., 2017). Ou et al., (2018) highlighted that cassava plants enhance the expression of KUP genes under increased salinity, lower temperatures, and reduced water availability, improving their nutritional quality. The research on gene function in different crops has revealed that several genes respond differently to abiotic stress (Ou et al., 2018).

Increased crop yield is the most researched agro-trait, followed by resistance to biotic stresses (like pests and diseases) and abiotic stresses (such as drought, cold, and salinity). These developments address farmers' agronomic and financial challenges, including attacks and environmental stresses pest (Lamichhane et al., 2015). For a long time, the introduction of traditional breeding patterns has boosted agricultural productivity; however, the rising need for food necessitates more efficient crop development techniques (Romero and Gatica-Arias, 2019).

Table 1. Use of GE for crop production in African countries with their lead instit	utions
Table 1. Use of OL for crop production in Arrican countries with their lead instit	ulions

Crops	Trait	Lead Institution	Partnering Institution	References	
Bananas	Virus resistant varieties	International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Nairobi, Kenya	Consultative Group of International Agricultural Research (CGIAR)	(Tripathi et al., 2019)	
Bananas	Bacterial resistance	International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Nairobi, Kenya	Consultative Group of International Agricultural Research (CGIAR)	(Tripathi et al. 2021)	
Maize	Maize lethal necrosis resistance	Corteva Agriscience	Kenya Agricultural and Livestock Research Institute (KALRO) and the International Centre for Maize and Wheat Improvement (CIMMYT)	(Runo et al., 2024)	
Rice	Bacterial leaf blight- resistant rice	Consultative Group of International Agricultural Research (CGIAR)	 Tanzania Agricultural Research Institute (TARI)-Uyole Centre, United Republic of Tanzania; International Rice Research Institute, Eastern and Southern Africa Region, Kenya; 	(Schepler-Luu et al., 2023)	
			 International Rice Research Institute (IRRI), Africa Regional Office, Kenya 		
Rice	Bacterial wilt- resistant rice	AUDA-NEPAD	4. Agence National de Biosecurité (ANB), in Burkina Faso	(Sprink et al., 2022)	
Tef	Lodging resistant	Corteva Agriscience	 Danforth Centre and the Ethiopian Institute for Agricultural Research (EIAR) 	(Beyene et al., 2022)	
Wheat	Drought tolerance	USDA-ARS, Crop Improvement and Genetics Unit, Albany, USA	Department of Genetics, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt 6. African National Agricultural Research Institutes (NARIs)	(Mohr et al. ,2022)	

S/N	Organism	Edited Gene(s)	Method	Outcome	Country of Study	Year of Study	References
1	Swine	A238L(5EL) gene	CRISPR/Cas 9	Development of pig with resistance against African Swine Fever Virus (ASFV) infection	Kenya	2022	(Abkallo et al. 2021, Abkallo et al. 2022)
2	Swine	EP402R	CRISPR/Cas9	Development of pig with resistance against African Swine Fiver Virus (ASFV) infection	Kenya	2022	(Abkallo et al. 2021, Abkallo et al. 2022)
3	Goat	APOL 1	CRISPR Cas9 system	African indigenous goats bearing the APOL 1 Transgene were generated	Kenya	2021	(Nabulindo et al. 2022)
4	Cattle	<i>Theileria parva</i> virulence genes	CRISPR CRISPR/Cas9	Development of a powerful and efficient immune response, when animals were vaccinated against East Coast fever	Kenya	2021	(Abkallo et al. 2021, Karembu 2021)

Table 2. Summary of application of GE tools in livestock breeding

Table 3. A summary of Africa's ongoing and successful GE applications to improve crop production and livestock farming

Project Title	Problem	Objectives	Target gene(s) and phenotype(s)	Country of Study	Institution	References
Evaluation of Striga resistance in Low Germination Stimulant 1 (LGS1) mutant sorghum	Economic loss of sorghum caused by the parasitic weed Striga	To knock out LGS1 gene in conferring Striga resistance in sorghum.	LGS1 Mutant alleles at the LGS1 locus	Kenya	Kenyatta University	(Karembu 2021)
Application of reproductive biotechnologies to develop a transgenic goat as a model for genetic control of animal diseases	Losses due to animal trypanosomiasis infection inLivestock	To generate African Indigenous goat bearing the APOL 1 transgene	APOL1 gene on ROSA26 locus by CRISPR Cas9 system.	Kenya	ILRI	(Karembu 2021)
Targeted mutagenesis of the CYP79D1 gene via CRISPR/ Cas9-mediated genome editing results in lower levels of cyanide in cassava	Toxic quantities of cyanogenic glycoside linamarin in cassava	To target the mutagenesis of the MeCYP79D1 gene in exon 3	CYP79D1	Kenya	IBR, JKUAT Nairobi, Kenya	(Juma et al. 2022)
Breeding Investigations for Developing Durable Resistance to Maize Lethal Necrosis Disease (MLND) and its Causal Viruses in Kenya	Maize lethal MLND	Introduce resistance against MLND	A quantitative trait locus on maize chromosome 6.	Kenya	1. KALRO 2. NARL Kabete	(Karembu 2021, Karanja 2021)
Genetic improvement of banana for control of bacterial wilt disease	Xanthomonas wilt disease of banana in East Africa.	To develop genome-edited banana resistant to bacterial wilt disease	Disease susceptibility 'S' genes and phenotype	Kenya	IITA	(Karembu 2021, Tripathi et al. 2021)
CRISPR/Cas9 editing of endogenous banana streak virus in the B genome of <i>Musa</i> spp. overcomes a major challenge in banana breeding	Endogenous banana streak virus (eBSV) in the B genome of plantain (AAB)	To inactivate the eBSV by editing the virus sequences	eBSOLV and BSOLV genes	Kenya	IITA	(Tripathi et al. 2019, Karembu 2021)
CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing of <i>Theileria</i> parva for the development of vaccine against East Coast fever	Pigs (African Swine Fever Virus ASFV) and cattle (<i>Theileria parva</i>) infections	Generation of live- attenuated vaccines	ASFV and Theileria parva virulence genes	Kenya	VB/(AHH) (ILRI)	Abkallo et al. 2021, Karembu 2021
Modulation of energy homeostasis in maize to develop lines tolerant to drought, genotoxic and oxidative stresses	Maize – drought susceptibility	 Engineering of Poly(ADPribosyl)ation pathway to broaden stress tolerance in plants 	Genes: Poly(ADP- ribose) polymerase (PARP1 and PARP2)	Kenya	1. VCPSB Ghent University, Belgium 2. PTL KU. Kenya	(Njuguna et al. 2017, Karembu 2021)

Ogbu et al.; Asian J. Biochem. Gen. Mol. Biol., vol. 16, no. 12, pp. 48-67, 2024; Article no.AJBGMB.127999

Project Title	Problem	Objectives	Target gene(s) and phenotype(s)	Country of Study	Institution	References
		 Knock-down of the maize PARP gene expression using CRISPR/CAS9. 	Phenotype: Maize tolerant to drought, DNA damage and oxidative stresses.			
Feed the Future Striga Smart Sorghum for Africa (SSSfA)	Striga low resistance in sorghum	To develop and commercialize genome edited Striga resistant sorghum, and research capacity in GE.	Low germination loci 1	Kenya Ethiopi a	Kenyatta University	Karembu 2021
Multiplex CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing to address drought tolerance in wheat	Abiotic stress	To generate transgenic and stress tolerant wheat plants	Sal1 Arabidopsis gene	Egypt	GD, CUE	(Karembu 2021, Abdullah 2022
Improving oil qualities of Ethiopian mustard (<i>Brassica carinata</i>) through application of CRISPR/CAS 9-based genome editing	Erucic acid in <i>B.</i> carinata	i. To develop <i>B. carinata</i> genotype with low erucic and glucosinolate for food and feed application ii. To develop <i>B. carinata</i> genotypes with wax ester for industrial application	FAE1, FAD2, GTR1, GTR2, FAR and WS genes	Ethiopi a	IB, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa	(Karembu 2021, Tesfaye et al. 2024)
Genome Editing for improved resistance to Cassava Bacterial Blight (CBB) Disease	Yield and harvest loss due to CBB disease	To develop cassava resistant or with improved tolerance to CBB	MeSWEET10a gene	Nigeria	NRCRI, Umudike, Nigeria	(Veley et al. 2020, Veley et al. 2021, Karembu 2021)
High-throughput screening of genes associated with the response of cassava to geminivirus South African cassava mosaic virus (SACMV).	High time response of cassava to cassava mosaic disease (CMD)	To silence the gene associated with the response to SACMV infection and measure the expression of mutant SACMV-infected cassava protoplasts	Ubiquitin proteasome system genes (e.g. E3 ligases), transcription factor genes (e.g. WRKYs), and	South Africa	SMCB, University of the Witwatersrand Johannesburg	(Karembu, 2021, Ramulifho 2021)

Project Title	Problem	Objectives	Target gene(s) and phenotype(s) resistance genes (e.g. NLRs).	Country of Study	Institution	References
Genome editing of potato	Viral infection in potatoes.	Production of virus- resistant potatoes.	Eukaryotic initiation factor 4E (Eif4E)	South Africa	Stellenbosch University	(Karembu 2021, Schwegler 2023)
Targeted gene editing for the development of high-yielding, stress- resistant and nutritious crops	Cassava: 1) Limited knowledge of the molecular basis of flowering 2) Lack of double haploid lines and efficient methods for double haploid induction in cassava Rice: Resistance of rice to yellow mottle virus Maize: Resistance of maize to lethal necrosis	 To produce fertile flowers and seeds in cassava To produce stress- resistant, cassava To develop rice resist varieties To develop maize- resistant varieties to lethal necrosis 	Genes: Phytoene desaturase, Terminal flower 1, Centromere localized genes, Host susceptibility genes Phenotypes: Photo bleaching, early flowering, short homozygous plants, Virus resistant edited plants	Uganda	1. NARO 2.NaCRRI- Namulonge Campus	(Odipio et al. 2017)

Key: ILRI: International Livestock Research Institute, IBR, JKUAT: Institute for Biotechnology Research, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology, Nairobi, Kenya, KALRO: Kenya Agriculture and Livestock Research Organization, NARL: National Agricultural Research, IITA: International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, VCPSB: VIBUGENT Center for Plant Systems Biology, Ghent University, Belgium, PTL KU: Plant Transformation Laboratory, Kenyatta University, Kenya, VB/AHH: Vaccine Biosciences/Animal and Human Health (AHH), IB: Institute of Biotechnology, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, NRCRI: National Root Crops Research Institute Umudike, Nigeria, SMCB: School of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of the Witwatersrand Johannesburg, GD-CUE: Department of Genetics, Cairo University Egypt, NARO: National Agricultural Research Organization, NaCRRI: National Crops Research Institute -Namulonge Campus. Global food production must rise rapidly to fulfil the demands of the expanding African population and those of the entire world. The developing pipeline focuses on applying technology in institutes and collaborating with local and international bodies to improve drought and disease resistance in several crops. Table 1 highlights the application of GE in institutions with their collaborating institutions.

2.2 Application of Genome Editing Tools in Livestock Breeding

Over the past ninety years, animal breeding has advanced significantly through quantitative aenetics. statistical methods. artificial insemination, and systematic breeding practices (Theunissen 2012). While genomic selection is widely accepted, genetic engineering and livestock gene editing remain contentious (Telugu et al. 2017). Although selective breeding and genomic selection can change animal genomes, they lack the precision to manipulate specific traits or alleles. Nevertheless, genetic engineering and genome editing offers new possibilities for improving animal production (Bruce 2017). Unlike crops such as oilseed rape, soy, and maize, which consumers generally accept for genome editing (particularly for traits like herbicide tolerance and insect resistance), public perception of genome editing in large mammals is mainly negative (Bruce 2017). The creation of polled cattle is among the first uses of genome editing that will impact people's health as it removes the necessity for invasive dehorning (Carlson et al. 2016). Additionally, animals' welfare is thought to improve from enhanced disease resistance (Bruce 2017, The NRAMP1 gene's Petersen 2017). integration into the bovine genome has increased the resistance of cattle to bovine tuberculosis (Petersen 2017).

Genome editing is used in cattle management to create live-attenuated vaccines for various diseases. Researchers at the International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI) in Kenya have used CRISPR–Cas9 technology to develop potential vaccines for African swine fever, a severe viral disease in pigs (Abkallo, 2021; Abkallo, 2022). Additionally, genome editing facilitates precision breeding to produce heattolerant cattle, enabling them to thrive in harsh African environments (Nabulindo et al., 2022). This approach can also modify the gut microbiomes of ruminants to reduce methane emissions, thus mitigating the environmental impact of livestock farming. Table 2 details the application of genome editing tools in African livestock.

3. USE OF GENE-EDITING TOOLS IN HEALTH IMPROVEMENT

The use of GE in controlling human diseases in Africa is limited. However, few studies have been reported on combating artemisinin resistance and clearing chronic hepatitis B virus infection. The Pfkelch13 gene in Plasmodium falciparum encodes a propeller protein essential for haemoglobin endocytosis, and mutations in its domain are linked to artemisinin resistance (Birnbaum et al. 2020; York, 2020). Researchers from East Africa used CRISPR-Cas9 to introduce two specific point mutations (R571H and P574L) previously identified in patient samples from Rwanda (Uwimana et al. 2020). They discovered that the R571H mutation causes artemisinin resistance in vitro, similar to the C580Y mutation, which is prevalent in Southeast Asia and contributes to resistance there (Imwong This et al.. 2017). gene-editing studv underscores the potential threat of artemisininresistant malaria in Africa (Scott et al. 2017). Additionally, South African researchers are exploring gene editing to treat Hepatitis B Virus (HBV) infections (Scott et al. 2017). Current treatments fail to eliminate covalently closed circular DNA (cccDNA), which acts as a reservoir for viral replication. Gene editing aims to address this gap in treatment (Scott et al. 2017).

Scott et al. (2017) also used CRISPR/Cas9based technology to insert genes encoding Staphylococcus aureus CRISPR-associated protein 9 (SaCas9) and its regulatory elements into recombinant single-stranded adenoassociated viral vectors. This method resulted in the inactivation of HBV replication. It blocked cccDNA mutation in vitro, thus demonstrating the potential for gene editing to aid the fight against chronic hepatitis B infection, which affects up to 65 million Africans and increases their risk of liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (WHO, 2024).

4. PROGRESS IN GE IN AFRICA

Africa's progress in GE marks a significant step forward in applying modern scientific discoveries to address the continent's unique challenges. With the potential to significantly enhance environmental conservation, boost agricultural output, and improve healthcare, this innovation signals the dawn of a new era of possibilities. By leveraging CRISPR-Cas9 technology, Africa is moving towards a brighter future defined by strong healthcare systems, lasting food security, and protecting its diverse ecosystems (Chattopadhyay 2018; Giller et al. 2021).

Efforts are underway to counter cassava mosaic disease, a threat to food security in Sub-Saharan Africa, by developing resistant cassava cultivars (Shilomboleni and Ismail, 2023). GE holds promise for treating genetically linked diseases such as sickle cell disease and HIV/AIDS in Africa, with CRISPR technology being explored to correct the genetic flaws causing these conditions (Kwarteng et al. 2017; George-Opuda et al. 2024). GE is also being researched for biodiversity conservation, using gene drive technology to control invasive species and enhance the survival of endangered species, though these interventions are still experimental (Blix et al. 2021; Reynolds 2020).

To support GE in Africa, the Innovative Genomics Institute partners with the African Orphan Crops Consortium, the Seed Biotechnology Center, and the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture to train African plant scientists in the use of CRISPR by providing them with tools that will be used in growing varieties of crops to meet the demanding needs of the local communities in Africa. This training fosters the improvement of crops with the traits to adapt to changes in climate, enhance nutritional value, and make them resistant to plant and disease threats (Runo et al. 2024; Innovative Genomics Institute 2024). Additionally, the African Union Development Agency-New Partnerships for Africa's Development (AUDA-NEPAD) and the Pan African University of Science, Technology, and Innovation (PAUSTI) are leading proponents of using GE to reduce hunger and malnutrition (Jamnadass et al. 2020; Runo et al. 2024, AUDA-NEPAD 2024).

The advancement of GE in Africa is met with debates over ethical, societal, and legal concerns. African countries are working to establish regulatory frameworks that balance innovation with ethical issues like informed consent and potential unforeseen outcomes (Ali et al. 2021). A key factor for success is capacity building, which includes developing advanced research facilities, fostering international

collaborations, and training African scientists in cutting-edge GE techniques. These efforts aim to empower Africa to set its scientific agenda and address its unique challenges (Ali et al., 2021).

5. CHALLENGES OF GE IN AFRICA

Research suggests that GE enhances scientists' ability to manage the existence of various plants and animals, potentially impacting human evolution. Many scientists advocate for a ban or temporary pause on genetic alterations to assess their benefits and drawbacks carefully. Conversely, despite the ethical concerns and uncertainties, we should embrace scientific progress and continue advancing in this field.

Koloi-Keaikitse et al., (2024) conducted a comprehensive study examining the cultural values, norms, and beliefs influencing community engagement in genome editing research in Botswana. The research revealed a mix of individual and community perspectives on GE. Participants expressed concerns about fear and anxiety related to the use of GE tools, highlighting potential negative impacts. Trust issues concerning the application of GE were prominent, along with worries about stigma. uncertainty. sensitivity and to GE. Additionally, some individuals indicated that contemporary religious beliefs could impede acceptance of GE, as many modern religions view humans as creations of God (Koloi-Keaikitse et al., 2024).

Researchers have found that Africa's experience with genetic modification (GM) has largely been negative, highlighting significant challenges (Rock et al. 2023; Jemaà 2023). Key issues include genetic engineering and GM confusion, leading to biosafety concerns and a lack of regulatory frameworks in many African countries. This situation has necessitated considerable efforts to change public perceptions, as there is a stigma associated with transgenic organisms. Regulatory bodies often need help to promote GE technologies effectively due to inadequate infrastructure and resources for scientists. including limited access to genetic materials, electricity, internet, lab facilities, equipment, and funding (Rock et al. 2023). In response to these challenges, the World Health Organization (WHO) has established the Advisory Committee on Developing Global Standards for Governance and Oversight of Human GE. This committee has produced a Draft Governance Framework on Human GE and includes members from various regions, emphasizing a collaborative approach to governance in this field (WHO 2019; WHO 2021).

Shozi et al. (2021) identified several critical areas in South Africa that the draft framework for global governance of GE needs to address:

- It must effectively tackle concerns related to demonstrating safety and efficacy, considering that different countries have varying thresholds for risk acceptance. This involves recommending suitable preclinical and clinical testing protocols for heritable gene editing and ensuring the feasibility of intergenerational monitoring.
- 2. A more nuanced approach is required regarding state sovereignty and global When standard-setting. regulated governmental adequatelv from а perspective, medical tourism can help skilled local medical professionals advance their careers and provide genetic engineers and biomedical specialists with invaluable chances to improve their expertise.
- 3. It fails to recognize that diverse legal systems may have legitimate variations in their interpretations of human dignity.

Parents should be granted the freedom to decide whether, when, and how to utilize GE technology unless compelling, evidence-based arguments exist to limit their options. Finally, clarifying what constitutes an injury to an individual's future interests (Shozi et al., 2021).

In South Africa, Thaldar et al. (2020) outlined guiding principles for GE aimed at informing moral and legislative reforms related to human germline editing. These principles emphasize the necessity of proper regulation, parental authority regarding GE applications on children, the acceptance of both therapeutic and nontherapeutic GE, the importance of public disclosure and accessibility of safe GE clinical applications, and the need to address social inequality for improved access. Other countries such as Nigeria, Kenya, Malawi, and Ghana have published National Biosafety guidelines on GE (Runo et al., 2024).

Despite establishing regulatory systems to oversee GE and address public concerns, a

significant challenge in Africa is the need for adequate monitoring and ethical safeguards, which can lead to the misuse of genetic technologies (Thaldar et al., 2020).

6. PROSPECTS OF GE IN AFRICA

Divergent views have emerged over whether the advantages of GE technologies outweigh their disadvantages since their introduction (Zhang et al., 2022). Many academics and public members have similar concerns, such as how genomeedited items that could potentially cause mutations would be found in the future. What potential negative impact on international trade might items with altered genomes have? How will items with genomes altered or genetically modified organisms be regulated in the future? Will we eventually have an international regulation that is harmonized? Prominent academics were prompted to gather and discuss thoughts and prospects about GE technology in Africa by these and other questions.

Aiming to raise awareness of the advancement genetic of technologies and emerging technologies, such as GE, which enable faster, easier, less expensive, and more precise changes to DNA, the Africa Biennial Biosciences Communication Symposium convened on June 13. 2019. It included conversations on the technology, with fantastic opinions and quotations from scientists. regulators. legislators. and scientific communicators (Karembu, 2019).

It became clear from their conversation that GE has much potential and would revolutionize the healthcare and agriculture industries globally, not just in Africa. African academics assert that the globe is experiencing a technological revolution, progressing from the green revolution to the gene revolution (Karembu 2019; Davies, 2003). Considering this, many countries are actively preparing to approve gene technology, of which the nations of Africa have yet to lag. The African Union High-Level Panel on Emeraina Technologies has already acknowledged that technological improvements are critical to auickenina Africa's path of arowth and transformation, which promises to benefit the domains of agriculture, health, and animal welfare significantly (Karembu, 2019).

Professor Benjamin Ubi of the Department of Biotechnology at Ebonyi State University in Nigeria, an expert in plant breeding and biotechnology, declared during his speech about the potential of GE in Africa that "GE will improve the lives of African farmers by transforming the agricultural sector, increasing productivity, and generating income when adopted." He also added that the African nations should not label the technology's regulation as genetically modified and further encouraged that Africa must build its capability in this area, enact legislation that promotes its application and use, supply the required infrastructure and a favourable research environment, and reward the scientists developing this technology.

The CEO of Kenya's National Biosafety Authority, Prof. Dorington Ogoyi, claims that "GE is one of the newest tools that may be used to address some of the abiotic and biotic constraints in Africa's agricultural productivity." The method offers great promise for crop development as a more precise and quick method. Africa needs to make it very clear which goods, those that have undergone genetic engineering, should be subject to regulation and which ones must follow the laws of genetically modified crops.

Dr. Rufus Ebegba, the chair of the Africa Union Biosafety Regulators Forum and director general of Nigeria's National Biosafety Management Agency, stated that "GE holds great prospects for Africa and will create a new vista in biotechnology if adequately and safely applied". With political backing, the African Union should create a roadmap for its expansion and regulation.

Subsequently, there is a need to unify these regulations on a regional level and collaborate regarding trade or technical discussions related to the matter. African authorities might learn the most from nations that have previously imposed regulations on GE. Bibiana Iraqi-Kipkorir, the program manager for Kenya AfriCenter's International Services for the Acquisition of Agrobiotechnological Applications, emphasizes that genetic engineering offers scientists a costeffective and efficient means to address various agricultural challenges. She advocates for scientists to educate the public about GE actively, highlighting the importance of countering misinformation, especially in the digital age, where non-experts can spread misleading information. Among other scientists, Dr. Charles Mugoya, the Chairman of Uganda's National Biosafety Committee, stated that "public

participation and early and continuous conversation are necessary for GE research to increase public trust and acceptance of the technology. Gene editing discussions need to be balanced between optimism and pessimism. The public's trust in Africa's scientists' capacity to make morally sound decisions on GE research must be upheld. These claims and others reinforced the adoption of GE instruments, especially in Africa, where the technology still seems like science fiction.

Carroll, (2017) asserted that the field of genetic engineering had progressed exponentially, with oligo-mediated beginning genetic engineering in the 1980s, even in agriculture unquestionably the most important aspect of human existence, while confronting pressures that had never been seen before, such as environmental degradation. hunaer. and malnourishment (Carroll, 2017). New GE technologies enable the simultaneous manipulation of several genetic loci in elite varieties (Davies 2003; Hsieh et al. 2019). This speeds up crop improvement and improves global food security and health. Effective GE requires knowledge about gene functions and genome sequences, which GE may help to some The CRISPR tool gained extent supply. importance over other GE technologies like TALENs and ZFNs because of its affordability and ease of use, which makes it more accessible to research groups (Janik et al., 2020). According to Jemaà (2023), GE (CRISPR) is still primarily a molecular biology tool that can be used in any living system and, due to its durability, has become vital for identifying and managing several illnesses. This technology is the way to go because of its speed, efficiency, ease of use, adaptability, and precision. This capability will lower experimentation costs and enable African labs and researchers to conduct top-notch genetic engineering research (Zhang et al., 2022).

Effective partnerships will be drawn to the quality, and these partnerships will enhance research and education to benefit African nations, especially in low-cost labs. Many agricultural attributes, such as production level, nutritional value, stress tolerance, and resistance to pests and herbicides, have been enhanced through the CRISPR/Cas9 system application (Hsieh et al. 2019; Jackson et al., 2019). Janik et al. (2020) argue that while GE technologies have a great deal of therapeutic potential, numerous benefits, the potential to treat serious diseases,

and the capacity to "alter the code of life," there are significant ethical and biosafety concerns that should not be ignored (Janik et al. 2020).

7. CONCLUSION

It is a great discovery that African countries have adopted genome editing tools. Our study revealed more applications of GE tools in plant breeding than livestock and human disease treatments. Despite these applications, some ethical challenges still exist. Also, the need for genome editing facilities and sponsorships in various parts of Africa has impeded the use of these tools, resulting in their application in only a few African countries. This research calls for funders to facilitate African researchers' efforts to improve livestock production, plant breeding, and combating human diseases.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative Al technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Abdallah, N.A., Elsharawy, H., Abulela, H.A., Thilmony, R., Abdelhadi, A.A., & Elarabi, N.I. (2022). Multiplex CRISPR/Cas9mediated genome editing to address Drought tolerance in wheat. *GM Crops & Food*,1-17. https://doi.org/10.1080/21645698.2022.212 0313
- Abkallo, H.M., Arbuthnot, P., Auer, T.O., Berger, D.K., Burger, J., Chakauya, E., et al. (2024). Making genome editing a success story in Africa. *Nature Biotechnology*, *42*(4),551-554. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-024-02187-2
- Abkallo, H.M., Hemmink, J.D., Oduor, B., Khazalwa, E.M., Svitek, N., Assad-Garcia, N., et al. (2022). Co-deletion of A238L and EP402R genes from a genotype IX African swine fever virus results in partial attenuation and

protection in swine. *Viruses 14*(9), 2024. https://doi.org/10.3390/v14092024

- Abkallo, H.M., Svitek, N., Oduor, B., Awino, E., Henson, S.P., Oyola, S.O., et al. (2021). Rapid CRISPR/Cas9 Editing of Genotype IX African Swine Fever Virus Circulating in Eastern and Central Africa. *Frontiers in Genetics*, 12:733674. https://doi: 10.3389/fgene.2021.733674.
- Ajami, M., Atashi, A., Kaviani, S., Kiani, J., & Soleimani, M. (2020). Generation of an in vitro model of β-thalassemia using the CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing system. *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry*, *121*(2), 1420-1430. https://doi: 10.1002/jcb.29377
- Ali, J., Cohn, B., Mwaka, E., Bollinger, J.M., Kwagala, B., Barugahare, J., et al. (2021). A scoping review of genetics and genomics research ethics policies and guidelines for Africa. *BMC Medical Ethics*, 22(1),1-15. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12910-021-00611-9
- Andoh, C.T. (2017). Genome editing technologies: ethical and regulation challenges for Africa. *International Journal* of *Health Economics and Policy*, 2(2), 30-46. https://doi: 10.11648/j.hep.20170202.11
- Anzalone, A.V., Koblan, L.W., & Liu, D.R. (2020). Genome editing with CRISPR–Cas nucleases, base editors, transposases and prime editors. *Nature Biotechnology, 38*(7), 824–844. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-020-0561-9
- AUDA-NEPAD (2024). African Countries Community of Practice on Genome Editing https://www.nepad.org/cop/africancountries-community-of-practice-genomeediting. Accessed 6 June 2024. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WH O-SCI-RFH-2019-01. Accessed 22 April 2024.
- Beyene, G., Chauhan, R.D., Villmer, J., Husic, N., Wang, N., Gebre, E., et al. (2022). CRISPR/Cas9-mediated tetra-allelic mutation of the 'Green Revolution'SEMIDWARF-1 (SD-1) gene lodging resistance in confers tef tef). Plant Biotechnology (Eragrostis Journal. 20(9), 1716-1729. https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13842
- Birnbaum, J., Scharf, S., Schmidt, S., Jonscher, E., Hoeijmakers, W.A.M., Flemming, S., et al. (2020). A Kelch13- defined endocytosis pathway mediates artemisinin resistance in malaria

parasites. *Science*, *367*(6473), 51–59. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax4735

Blix, T.B., Dalmo, R.A., Wargelius, A., & Myhr, A.I. (2021). Genome editing on finfish: Current status and implications for sustainability. *Reviews in Aquaculture*, 13(4),2344-2363.

https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12571

- Bruce, A. (2017). Genome edited animals: Learning from GM crops? *Transgenic Research*, 26(3), 385-398. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11248-017-0017-2
- Cardi, T., D'Agostino, N., & Tripodi, P. (2017). Genetic transformation and genomic resources for next-generation precise genome engineering in vegetable crops. *Frontiers in Plant Science 8*, 242555. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00241
- Carlson, D. F., Lancto, C. A., Zang, B., Kim, E. S., Walton, M., Oldeschulte, D., et al. (2016). Production of hornless dairy cattle from genome-edited cell lines. *Nature biotechnology*, 34(5), 479-481. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3560
- Carroll, D. (2017). Focus: genome editing: genome editing: past, present, and future. *The Yale journal of biology and medicine*, *90*(4),653.https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pm c/articles/PMC5733845.
- Chattopadhyay, G. P. (2018). *Technologies in the Era of Singularity*. Notion Press, Chennai, India, 206.
- Clark, B., Kuwalekar, M., Fischer, B., Woltering, J., Biran, J., Juntti, S., et al. (2023). Genome editing in East African cichlids and tilapias: state-of-the-art and future directions. *Open biology*, *13*(11), 230257.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rsob.230257

- Davies, W. P. (2003). An historical perspective from the green revolution to the gene revolution. *Nutrition Reviews*, *61*(suppl_6), S124-S134. https://doi. org/10.1301/nr.2003.jun.S124-S134
- Frossard, E., Aighewi, B. A., Aké, S., Barjolle, D., Baumann, P., Bernet, T., et al. (2017). The challenge of improving soil fertility in yam cropping systems of West Africa. *Frontiers in plant science*, *8*, 1953. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01953
- Geller, G., Dvoskin, R., Thio, C. L., Duggal, P., Lewis, M. H., Bailey, T. C., et al. (2014). Genomics and infectious disease: a call to identify the ethical, legal and social implications for public health and clinical practice. *Genome medicine*, *6*, 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-014-0106-2

- George-Opuda, I., Augustine, U.A., Adline, E.B.C., & Elekima, I. (2024). Gene Therapy Advancements for Precision Cancer Treatment: Challenges and Opportunities in Sub-Saharan Africa-Nigeria Perspective. Asian Journal of Biochemistry. Genetics and Molecular Biology 16(1), 25-35. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajbgmb/2024/v16i1 354
- Giller, K. E., Delaune, T., Silva, J. V., Descheemaeker, K., van de Ven, G., Schut, A. G., et al. (2021). The future of farming: Who will produce our food?. *Food Security*, *13*(5), 1073-1099. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-021-01184-6
- Gomez, M. A., Lin, Z. D., Moll, T., Chauhan, R. D., Hayden, L., Renninger, K. (2019). Simultaneous CRISPR/Cas9-mediated editing of cassava eIF 4E isoforms nCBP-1 and nCBP-2 reduces cassava brown streak disease symptom severity and incidence. *Plant biotechnology journal*, 17(2),421-434.

https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12987

- Hsieh, P., Vollger, M. R., Dang, V., Porubsky, D., Baker, C., Cantsilieris, S. (2019). Adaptive archaic introgression of copy number variants and the discovery of previously unknown human genes. *Science*, *366*(6463), eaax2083. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax2083
- Imwong, M., Suwannasin, K., Kunasol, C., Sutawong, K., Mayxay, M., Rekol, H. (2017). The spread of artemisinin-resistant Plasmodium falciparum in the Greater Mekong subregion: a molecular epidemiology observational study. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, *17*(5), 491-497.https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(17)30048-8
- Innovative Genomics Institute (2024). AfPBA CRISPR Course In Gene Editing for African Plant Scientists. https://innovativegenomics.org/programs/p ublic-impact/afpba-crispr-course-africa/ Accessed 6 June 2024.
- Jackson, L., Kuhlman, C., Jackson, F., & Fox, P. K. (2019). Including vulnerable populations in the assessment of data from vulnerable populations. *Frontiers in big Data*, 2, 19. https://doi.org/10.3389/fdata.2019.00019
- Jamnadass, R., Mumm, R. H., Hale, I., Hendre, P., Muchugi, A., Dawson, I. K., et al. (2020). Enhancing African orphan crops with genomics. *Nature Genetics*, *52*(4).

356-360. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-020-0601-x

- Janik, E., Niemcewicz, M., Ceremuga, M., Krzowski, L., Saluk-Bijak, J., & Bijak, M. (2020). Various aspects of a gene editing system—crispr–cas9. *International journal* of molecular sciences, 21(24), 9604. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21249604
- Javaid, D., Ganie, S. Y., Hajam, Y. A., & Reshi, M. S. (2022). CRISPR/Cas9 system: a reliable and facile genome editing tool in modern biology. *Molecular Biology Reports*, 49(12), 12133-12150. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-022-07880-6
- Jemaà, M. (2023). Demystification and feasibility of CRISPR technology and gene editing in African laboratories. *Biology Open, 12*(10). https://doi.org/10.1242/bio.060122
- Josa, S., Seruggia, D., Fernández, A., & Montoliu, L. (2017). Concepts and tools for gene editing. *Reproduction, Fertility and Development, 29*(1), 1-7. http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/RD16396
- Juma, B. S., Mukami, A., Mweu, C., Ngugi, M. P., & Mbinda, W. (2022). Targeted mutagenesis of the CYP79D1 gene via CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing results in lower levels of cyanide in cassava. *Frontiers in plant science*, *13*, 1009860.
- Karanja, J. K. (2021). Breeding investigations for developing durable resistance to maize lethal necrosis disease (MLND) and its causal viruses in Kenya (Doctoral dissertation).https://core.ac.uk/download/p df/571191395.pdf
- Karavolias, N. G., Horner, W., Abugu, M. N., & Evanega, S. N. (2021). Application of gene editing for climate change in agriculture. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 5, 685801.http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.202 1.685801.
- Karembu, M. (2019). ABBC2019 Genome Editing- Join the Conversation Booklet. International Service for the ACQUISITION of Agri-Biotech Applications (ISAAA AfriCenter), Nairobi Kenya. www.abbcsymposium.org
- Karembu, M. (2021). Genome editing in Africa's agriculture 2021: An early take-off. International Service for the Acquisition of Agri-biotech Applications (ISAAA AfriCenter)(Nairobi).https://africenter.isaaa. org/wp-content/uploads/2022/05/Genome-Editing-in-Africas-Agriculture-_2nd-Edition-2022.pdf

- Koloi-Keaikitse, S., Kasule, M., Kwape, I., Jankie, D., Ralefala, D., Ntseane, D. M., & Mokone, G. G. (2024). Understanding cultural values, norms and beliefs that may impact participation in genome-editing related research: Perspectives of local communities in Botswana. *Developing World Bioethics*. https://doi.org/10.1111/dewb.12440
- Kwarteng, A., Ahuno, S. T., & Kwakye-Nuako, G. (2017). The therapeutic landscape of HIV-1 via genome editing. *AIDS research and therapy*, *14*,1-16.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s12981-017-0157-8

- Lamichhane, J. R., Barzman, M., Booij, K., Boonekamp, P., Desneux, N., Huber, L., et al. (2015). Robust cropping systems to tackle pests under climate change. A review. Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 35, 443-459. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-014-0275-9
- Ledford, H., & Callaway, E. (2020). Pioneers of CRISPR gene editing win chemistry Nobel. *Nature* 586(7829), 346-347. *https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-020-02765-9*
- Li, T., Liu, B., Spalding, M. H., Weeks, D. P., & Yang, B. (2012). High-efficiency TALENbased gene editing produces diseaseresistant rice. *Nature biotechnology*, *30*(5), 390-392. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2199
- Lino, C.A., Harper, J.C., Carney, J.P., & Timlin, JA. (2018). Delivering CRISPR: a review of the challenges and approaches. *Drug delivery* 25(1), 1234-1257. https://doi.org/10.1080/10717544.2018.147 4964
- Liu, L., Gallagher, J., Arevalo, E.D., Chen, R., Skopelitis, T., Wu, Q., et al. (2021). Enhancing grain-yield-related traits by CRISPR–Cas9 promoter editing of maize CLE genes. *Nature Plants 7*(3), 287-294. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-021-00858-5
- Lowe, K., La Rota, M., Hoerster, G., Hastings, C., Wang, N., Chamberlin, M., et al (2018). Rapid genotype "independent" *Zea mays* L.(maize) transformation via direct somatic embryogenesis. *In Vitro Cellular & Developmental Biology-Plant*, *54*, 240-252. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11627-018-9905-2
- Mbuvi, D. A., Masiga, C. W., Kuria, E., Masanga, J., Wamalwa, M., Mohamed, A., et al. (2017). Novel sources of witchweed (Striga) resistance from wild sorghum accessions. *Frontiers in plant science*, *8*, 116.https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.0011

6Mehta, D., Stürchler, A., Anjanappa, R. B., Zaidi, S. S. E. A., Hirsch-Hoffmann, M., Gruissem, W., & Vanderschuren, H. (2019). Linking CRISPR-Cas9 interference in cassava to the evolution of editingresistant geminiviruses. *Genome biology*, *20*, 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-019-1678-3

- Mennechet, F. J., & Dzomo, G. R. T. (2020). Coping with COVID-19 in sub-Saharan Africa: what might the future hold?. *Virologica Sinica*, *35*(6), 875-884. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12250-020-00279-2
- Miao. J., Guo, D., Zhang, J., Huang, Q., Qin, G., Zhang, X., et al. (2013). Targeted mutagenesis in rice using CRISPR-Cas system. *Cell Research*, *23*(10), 1233-1236. https://doi.org/10.1038/cr.2013.123
- Mohr, T., Horstman, J., Gu, Y.Q., Elarabi, N.I., Abdallah, N.A., & Thilmony, R. (2022). CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing of the Sal1 gene family in wheat. *Plants, 11*(17), 2259.
 - https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11172259
- Morishige, S., Mizuno, S., Ozawa, H., Nakamura, T., Mazahery, A., & Nomura, K. (2019). CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated Gene Correction in Hemophilia B Patient-Derived IPSCs. *International Journal of Hematology, 111*, 225–233. https://doi: 10.1007/s12185-019-02765-0
- Nabulindo, N. W., Nguhiu-Mwangi, J., Kipyegon, A. N. E., Ogugo, M., Muteti, C., Christian, T., et al. (2022). Culture of Kenyan goat (Capra hircus) undifferentiated spermatogonia in feeder-free conditions. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, *9*, 894075. https://doi: 10.3389/fvets.2022.894075.
- Ndudzo, A., Makuvise, A. S., Moyo, S., & Bobo, E. D. (2024). CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing in crop breeding for climate change resilience: Implications for smallholder farmers in Africa. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Research*, 101132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafr.2024.101132
- Njuguna, E., Coussens, G., Aesaert, S., Neyt, P., Anami, S., & Van Lijsebettens, M. (2017). Modulation of energy homeostasis in maize and Arabidopsis to develop lines tolerant to drought, genotoxic and oxidative stresses. *Afrika Focus*, *30*(2), 66-76. https://brill.com/view/journals/afoc/30/2/arti cle-p66_7.xml
- Ntui, V.O., Tripathi, J.N., & Tripathi, L. (2020). Robust CRISPR/Cas9 mediated genome editing tool for banana and plantain (*Musa*

spp.). Current Plant Biology 21, 100128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpb.2019.100128

- Odipio, J., Alicai, T., Ingelbrecht, I., Nusinow, D. A., Bart, R., & Taylor, N. J. (2017). Efficient CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing of phytoene desaturase in cassava. *Frontiers in plant science*, 8, 1780. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01780
- Omondi, D.O. (2022). Characterization of the genetic diversity of Cercospora zeina in Kenya and mapping the qtl for resistance to gray leaf spot and Turcicum leaf blight in maize (Doctoral dissertation, Maseno University). http://edocs.maseno.ac.ke/bitstream/handl e/123456789/5334/Dennis%20Omondi%2 0MscAf00031016%20Thesis%202022.pdf ?sequence=1&isAllowed=y
- Ou, W., Mao, X., Huang, C., Tie, W., Yan, Y., Ding, Z., et al. (2018). Genome-wide identification and expression analysis of the KUP family under abiotic stress in cassava (Manihot esculenta Crantz). *Frontiers in Physiology*, 9, 17. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2018.00017
- Petersen, B. (2017). Basics of genome editing technology and its application in livestock species. *Reproduction in Domestic Animals*, *52*, 4-13. https://doi.org/10.1111/rda.13012
- Prasanna, B. M., Nair, S. K., Babu, R., Gowda, M., Zhang, X., Xu, Y., et al. (2020). Increasing genetic gains in maize in stressprone environments of the tropics. *Genomic designing of climate-smart cereal crops*, 97-132. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-93381-8 3
- Ramulifho, E. (2021). Functional characterisation of resistance gene orthologues in cassava using gene editing (Doctoral dissertation). https://wiredspace.wits.ac.za/items/b45dd5 0c-9f41-46c6-a5ec-b152de632f16
- Razzaq, A., Mustafa, G., Ali, M. A., Khan, M. S., & Joyia, F. A. (2021). CRISPR-mediated genome editing in maize for improved abiotic stress tolerance. In Molecular breeding in wheat, maize and sorghum: strategies for improving abiotic stress tolerance and yield (pp. 405-420). Wallingford UK: CABI. https://doi.org/10.1079/9781789245431.00 23
- Reynolds, J. L. (2020). Governing new biotechnologies for biodiversity conservation: gene drives, international law, and emerging politics. *Global*

Environmental Politics, *20*(3), 28-48. https://doi.org/10.1162/glep_a_00567

- Ricroch, A. (2019) Global developments of genome editing in agriculture. *Transgenic Research, 28* (Suppl 2), 45–52. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11248-019-00133-6
- Ricroch, A., Clairand, P., & Harwood, W. (2017). Use of CRISPR systems in plant genome editing: toward new opportunities in agriculture. *Emerging Topics in Life Sciences*, 1(2), 169-182. https://doi.org/10.1042/ETLS20170085
- Rock, J. S., Schnurr, M. A., Kingiri, A., Ely, A., Glover, D., Stone, G. D., & Fischer, K. (2023). The knowledge politics of genome editing in Africa. *Elementa: Science of the Anthropocene*, 11(1). https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.2022.001 43
- Rohr, J. R., Barrett, C. B., Civitello, D. J., Craft, M. E., Delius, B., DeLeo, G. A., et al. (2019). Emerging human infectious diseases and the links to global food production. *Nature sustainability*, 2(6), 445-456. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-019-0293-3
- Romero, F.M., & Gatica-Arias, A. (2019). CRISPR/Cas9: development and application in rice breeding. *Rice Science*, *26*(5), 265-281. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsci.2019.08.001
- Runo, S., Karembu, M., Nan'gayo, F., Mekbib, F., Haileselassie, T., Tesfaye, K., et al. (2024). Africanizing genome editing for food sustainability. *Global Food Security*, *42*, 100785. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfs.2024.100785

Sami, A., Xue, Z., Tazein, S., Arshad, A., He

- Zhu, Z., Ping Chen, Y., et al. (2021). CRISPR–Cas9-based genetic engineering for crop improvement under drought stress. *Bioengineered*, *12*(1), 5814-5829. https://doi.org/10.1080/21655979.2021.196 9831
- Saurabh, S. (2021). Genome editing: Revolutionizing the crop improvement. *Plant Molecular Biology Reporter*, *39*(4), 752-772. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11105-021-01286-7
- Schepler-Luu V, Sciallano C, Stiebner M, Ji C, Boulard G, Diallo A, Auguy F, Char SN, Arra Y, Schenstnyi K, Buchholzer M. (2023). Genome editing of an African elite rice variety confers resistance against endemic and emerging *Xanthomonas*

oryzae pv. Oryzae strains. Elife, 12, e84864.

- Schepler-Luu, V., Sciallano, C., Stiebner, M., Ji, C., Boulard, G., Diallo, A., et al. (2023). Genome editing of an African elite rice variety confers resistance against endemic and emerging *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. oryzae strains. *Elife*, 12, e84864. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.84864.sa0
- Schwegler, D.A. (2023). Development of genome editing of potato to repress cold-induced sweetening. https://scholar.sun.ac.za/server/api/core/bit streams/2c0d701b-c1e3-462a-b6a0-317b64fd9f57/content
- Scott, T., Moyo, B., Nicholson, S., Maepa, M. B., Watashi, K., Ely, A., et al. (2017). ssAAVs containing cassettes encoding SaCas9 and guides targeting hepatitis B virus inactivate replication of the virus in cultured cells. *Scientific reports*, 7(1), 7401. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-07642-6
- Searchinger, T., Waite, R., Hanson, C., Ranganathan, J., Dumas, P, Matthews, E., et al. (2019). Creating a sustainable food future: A menu of solutions to feed nearly 10 billion people by 2050. *Final Report*. https://www.wri.org/research/creatingsustainable-food-future
- Shilomboleni, H., & Ismail, A. M. (2023). Geneediting technologies for developing climate resilient rice crops in sub-Saharan Africa: Political priorities and space for responsible innovation. *Elementa Science of the Anthropocene, 11*(1), 00145. https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.2022.001 45
- Shozi, B., Kamwendo, T., Kinderlerer, J., Thaldar, D. W., Townsend, B., & Botes, M. (2022). Future of global regulation of human genome editing: a South African perspective on the WHO Draft Governance Framework on Human Genome Editing. *Journal of medical ethics*, 48(3), 165-168.
- Shukla, V. K., Doyon, Y., Miller, J. C., DeKelver, R. C., Moehle, E. A., Worden, S. E., et al. (2009). Precise genome modification in the crop species *Zea mays* using zinc-finger nucleases. *Nature*, 459(7245), 437-441. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07992
- Sprink, T., Wilhelm, R., & Hartung, F. (2022). Genome editing around the globe: An update on policies and perceptions. *Plant Physiology, 190*(3), 1579-1587. https://doi.org/10.1093/plphys/kiac359

Svitashev, S., Young, J. K., Schwartz, C., Gao, H., Falco, S. C., & Cigan, A. M. (2015). Targeted mutagenesis, precise gene editing, and site-specific gene insertion in maize using Cas9 and guide RNA. *Plant physiology*, *169*(2), 931-945.

https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.15.00793

Telugu, B.P., Park, K.E., & Park, C.H. (2017). Genome editing and genetic engineering in livestock for advancing agricultural and biomedical applications. *Mammalian Genome*,28,338-347.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00335-017-9709-4

- Tesfaye, M., Wang, E.S., Feyissa, T., Herrfurth, C., Haileselassie, T., Kanagarajan, S., Feussner I, Zhu LH. (2024). Enhancing Erucic Acid and Wax Ester Production in Brassica carinata through Metabolic Engineering for Industrial Applications. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 25(12), 6322. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms25126322
- Thaldar, D., Botes, M., Shozi, B., Townsend, B., & Kinderlerer, J. (2020) Human germline editing: Legal-ethical guidelines for South Africa. South African Journal of Science, 116(9-10), 1-7.

https://doi.org/10.17159/sajs.2020/6760

- Theunissen, B. (2012). Breeding for nobility or for production? Cultures of dairy cattle breeding in the Netherlands, 1945–1995. *Isis103*(2),278-309. https://doi.org/10.1086/666356
- Tripathi, A., Kumar, D., Chavda, P., Rathore, D. S., Pandit, R., Blake, D., et al. (2023). Resistome profiling reveals transmission dynamics of antimicrobial resistance genes from poultry litter to soil and plant. *Environmental Pollution*, *327*, 121517. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2023.1215 17
- Tripathi, J.N., Ntui, V.O., Ron, M., Muiruri, S.K., Britt, A., & Tripathi, L. (2019). CRISPR/Cas9 editing of endogenous banana streak virus in the B genome of *Musa* spp. overcomes a major challenge in banana breeding. *Communications Biology* 2(1), 46. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-019-0288-7
- Tripathi, J.N., Ntui, V.O., Shah, T., & Tripathi, L. (2021). CRISPR/Cas9-mediated editing of DMR6 orthologue in banana (*Musa* spp.) confers enhanced resistance to bacterial disease. *Plant Biotechnology Journal*, 19(7),1291-1293. https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13614

Tripathi, L., Dhugga, K. S., Ntui, V. O., Runo, S., Syombua, E. D., Muiruri, S., et al. (2022). Genome editing for sustainable agriculture in Africa. *Frontiers in Genome Editing*, *4*, 876697.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fgeed.2022.876697

- Uwimana, A., Legrand, E., Stokes, B. H., Ndikumana, J. L. M., Warsame, M., Umulisa, N., et al. (2020). Emergence and clonal expansion of in vitro artemisininresistant *Plasmodium falciparum* kelch13 R561H mutant parasites in Rwanda. *Nature medicine*, *26*(10), 1602-1608. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-1005-2
- Veley, K. M., Okwuonu, I., Jensen, G., Yoder, M., Taylor, N. J., Meyers, B. C., & Bart, R. S. (2021). Gene tagging via CRISPRmediated homology-directed repair in cassava. G3, Genes/Genomics/Genetics, 11(4), kab028. https://doi.org/10.1093/g3journal/ikab028
- Veley, K. M., Okwuonu, I., Jensen, G., Yoder, M., Taylor, N. J., Meyers, B. C., & Bart, R. S. (2020). Visualizing cassava bacterial blight at the molecular level using CRISPRmediated homology-directed repair. *bioRxiv*, 2020-05. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.14.090928
- World Health Organization (2019). WHO expert advisory committee on developing global standards for governance and oversight of human genome editing: *report of the first meeting*,

https://www.who.int/groups/expert-

advisory-committee-on-developing-globalstandards-for-governance-and-oversightof-human-genome-editing. Accessed 22 April 2024

- World Health Organization (2021). WHO expert advisory committee on developing global standards for governance and oversight of human genome editing: *report of the first online consultation*. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/978 9240032446. Accessed 22 April 2024
- World Health Organization. (2024). Hepatitis B. https://www.who.int/newsroom/factsheets/ detail/hepatitis b. Accessed 4 June 2024.
- York, A. (2020). The ART of solving the mystery. Nature Reviews Microbiology, 18(3), 121– 121. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-020-0326-y
- Zafar, S.A., Zaidi, S.S.E.A, Gaba, Y., Singla-Pareek, S.L., Dhankher, O.P., Li, X., et al., (2020). Engineering abiotic stress tolerance via CRISPR/Casmediated genome editing. *Journal of*

Ogbu et al.; Asian J. Biochem. Gen. Mol. Biol., vol. 16, no. 12, pp. 48-67, 2024; Article no.AJBGMB.127999

Experimental Botany, 71(2), 470-479. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erz476

Zhang, X., Li, T., Ou, J., Huang, J., & Liang, P. (2022). Homology-based repair induced by CRISPR-Cas nucleases in mammalian embryo genome editing. *Protein & Cell, 13*(5),316-335. https://doi:10.1007/s13238-021-00838-7.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/127999